

DONATE

[EUROPE](#) [GERMANY](#) [HUMAN RIGHTS](#) [NEWS](#) [SWEDEN](#)

WARNING: Renowned Virologist Sucharit Bhakdi Warns Against Hastily Created Gene-Altering Coronavirus Vaccine (video)

 Renee Nal  September 25, 2020  43 comments  16 min read



“The prominent physician dismisses the notion that a coronavirus vaccine so hastily developed could be safe.”

In the following RAIR Foundation USA exclusively-translated video,

several very powerful points that should be considered by those touting a potential vaccine.

The former Emeritus Head of the Institute for Medical Microbiology and Hygiene at the Johannes-Gutenberg-Universität in Mainz, Germany explains that the scientific “standard” for vaccine creation is “four to five years.” The prominent physician dismisses the notion that a coronavirus vaccine so hastily developed could be safe.

Coronavirus Mortality Rate Obscured

One of the most obvious points is that the vaccine is for an illness that has an incredibly low case fatality rate in Germany. “Among these 0 to 70 years, 0.7 percent died with and from COVID-19. 0.7 percent died,” he explains. But the real scandal is that these numbers are obscured from the public, in an apparant effort to make the disease appear more deadly than it actually is. Likewise, this author has looked for the mortality rate of the coronavirus in America by age and it is very difficult to find. “You won’t be able to find the answer, because you’ll only get an answer if you search the reports from the RKI [Robert Koch Institute] and calculate the number yourself.”

The doctor explains that the coronavirus is “about as dangerous as an influenza virus. A seasonal, moderate flu.” Doctor Bhakdi further explains that the vast majority of deaths are people who already have compromised health. As an individual over 70, the doctor explains that he is still not concerned, as he does not have co-morbidities. “I might be over 70, but I don’t have any pre-existing conditions, and if I wanted to, I wouldn’t die unless I threw myself down the stairs, out of desperation,” he said wryly.

As noted previously at [RAIR](#), Dr. Deborah Birx in America stated during a press conference in April that “...if someone dies with COVID-19, we are counting that as a COVID-19 death.” With that in mind, it is certainly not a stretch to be highly suspicious of the death toll of the virus. In addition, the [CDC guidance](#) for reporting cause of death, “death certifiers” are advised on “proper cause-of-death certification for cases where confirmed *or suspected* COVID-19 infection resulted in death.” (author

Doctor Sucharit Bhakdi makes an incredibly powerful statement while explaining that the vaccine being developed “isn’t a normal conventional vaccine, and it’s not like the flu vaccine.” He says that the vaccine is “gene-based,” and the way it works is “still unknown scientifically and medically.” While many people have fought against genetically modified food, the Virologist explains, “we’re [now] talking about a vaccination that genetically manipulates the human body, and apparently these same people have no concerns about it.”

The doctor explained very simply that all people have “lymphocytes,” the body’s natural defense against viruses, including the Flu. It is a basic “principle of immunology,” he explains. “I believe it has always worked and that’s the reason why we as adults are relatively well-protected,” Bhakdi said. “If you are under 70 and not seriously ill from anything else,” the lymphocytes will do their jobs.

However, if one uses the vaccine to “inject the gene of the virus,” the lymphocytes “won’t recognize that it is only the gene that was injected and not the virus.” The result, he explains, will be that the lymphocytes “will go there anyway and kill these cells.” Bhakdi continues: “That’s what we call an autoimmune reaction.”

As to the latest leftist assertion that there are “increasing numbers of long-term consequences for corona patients,” Doctor Sucharit Bhakdi said: “It isn’t possible to form an opinion, because there are no data at all.”

Watch Dr. Bhakdi discuss the vaccine (transcript below):



Support our work at RAIR Foundation USA! We are a grassroots activist team and we need your help! Please consider making a donation here: <https://rairfoundation.com/donate/>

Many thanks to Miss Piggy for the Translation!

Although from a scientific standpoint, the development of a vaccine usually takes at least four to five years. That's the standard, scientifically. Now with Corona, suddenly it will be ready in few months and it's supposed to be a safe vaccine. The Austrian health minister says in all seriousness we could have a safe inoculation as early as January. So far, the mainstream media haven't questioned that, and say, "Yes, yes, it will be relatively safe with relatively few side effects."

Are politics and the media already completely corrupted by the pharmaceutical industry, Professor?

I don't know, but what I don't understand is the statement that the vaccine is so urgently needed.

Now I will ask the audience a question in return: Do you know how many people under the age of 70 have died from COVID 19? As a percentage? That means, out of 1000 people under 70 who were infected, how many died? You won't be able to find the answer, because you'll only get an answer if you search the reports from the RKI [Robert Koch Institute] and calculate



how many COVID-19 deaths there have been among the total number of people under the age of 70, it was about 185,000 under the age of 70 who were infected. That means that most of them were young and under 70, because the total number was 230,000. The rest were older than 70.

Among these 0 to 70 years, 0.7 percent died with and from COVID-19. 0.7 percent died. That means 99.3 percent did NOT die. Most of them were not seriously ill at all.

In America, it is now known that about 6% of the people were COVID victims, they are real victims. It was published by the CDC. The rest, the 94%, had serious pre-existing conditions. So, if you take Germany, well, let's say 10% were real, then we are at 0.07% of real victims. That's 99.93. Okay?

So, I can tell you I will never get vaccinated.

I might be over 70, but I don't have any pre-existing conditions, and if I wanted to, I wouldn't die unless I threw myself down the stairs, out of desperation.

I'm not saying that SARS-COV-2 is harmless. No. I never said that. It's about as dangerous as an influenza virus. A seasonal, moderate flu. What I am saying is that the most at risk are those over 70 with severe pre-existing conditions. So, if you say, we should protect them, I'm right there with you and that's good.

We have to do that. We should want to protect them. But how?

Then the question is: Should we vaccinate them? I would say yes, but these vaccines better be tested damned well for safety, especially because this vaccine will be used primarily on older sick people and not on young healthy people.

So, twelve months is not enough time for that. Not even five years. Certainly not. And that just applies to conventional vaccines.

What we are dealing with in Europe, in Germany, Austria, is a completely

medically.

The impact of which has a very high-risk potential. — This is also a very central point, of which I do not think many people are aware. For many years, we have experienced this massive protest movement against genetic manipulation in our food.

In particular, the Green Party has been fighting for years against genetically manipulated food coming onto the market, especially in Europe. So now we're talking about a vaccination that genetically manipulates the human body, and apparently these same people have no concerns about it.

I can't understand that either. I can't understand that. And I don't want to understand it. I don't want to accept it. You can't say, 'Oh! This virus is so dangerous, that we now have to do away with everything or else it will wipe us all out.'

You know this gene-based vaccine. I'm going to tell you a little bit about it, because as I understand it, Mrs. Merkel has reserved 54 million doses of this gene-based vaccine from the British company. In Austria as well. Six million doses were ordered for a total population of eight million. — Yes.

How can they do that? How can they do that? And they even do it with our tax money to boot. And it's not just that, because they advertise for this vaccination almost daily. They call it harmless. They call it safe.

Just this Tuesday, a few Austrian media outlets announced the latest gag: 'The vaccination will be free!' The government will carry the costs. I read that in the newspaper today. I saw that in the newspaper, yes. The government pays with our tax money, at least I think so; I don't know if they have secret accounts or something somewhere.

So now getting back to our topic: When a virus produces a protein in one of our cells, it produces waste. It is like building a house, and there's waste produced in the process. This waste is hung outside the door as a sign or placed in front of the door as garbage. This waste is recognized by lymphocytes, including killer lymphocytes, which we all have.

the book that these killer lymphocytes are probably the reason why [we remain healthy], probably.

If you or I have or get a SARS-COV-2 infection, and it really goes into my cells, it reproduces itself. The waste produced by this process is put outside the door of my lung cell, and then this killer lymphocyte cell from previous years recognizes the diseased cells and kills the cell.

That's how the fire is put out. The factory is destroyed and the viruses are no longer produced and the person recovers and is healthy again. That's the mechanism; that's the main mechanism of recovery with a SARS-COV-2 infection.

It's the same in cases of flu infection, by the way. This is a principle of immunology. I believe it has always worked and that's the reason why we as adults are relatively well-protected.

If you are under 70 and not seriously ill from anything else, the fires are practically always extinguished. On the other hand, if you're foolish enough to inject the gene of the virus, and it goes somewhere else, where it does not belong, it will produce waste there. Then the killer lymphocytes won't recognize that it is only the gene that was injected and not the virus.

It will go there anyway and kill these cells. That's what we call an autoimmune reaction. How and where these autoimmune reactions will happen, nobody knows. Tests for that have never been done. The pharmaceutical industry never considered that something like that could happen.

If you go through the literature and examine what reactions the vaccine that have been sold caused, you'll find a study from early August. They were in phase 2, and a relatively small number of English volunteers were vaccinated.

In 20% to 25% of the cases, the side effects were so extreme that people had enormous swelling, fever, chills, headache, aching limbs, muscle aches, and were so sick that they could not stand it.

So this is obviously a sign of how they are trying to manipulate us through the media. In that article from Tuesday, with the headline: 'There should be a free vaccination'. There was also an interview with a pharma lobbyist

consider that reprehensible. Now I'm getting angry. That can't be possible. That's lying.

You have to read this study. It's published in Lancet. OK? What the English did, in Oxford, because the side effects were so severe, from that point on, all the subsequent test subjects for the vaccine were given a high dose of paracetamol [acetaminophen].

That's a fever-reducing painkiller. You know? An antipyretic painkiller. Paracetamol in high doses. And then... In response to the vaccination? —No. To prevent the reaction. That means they received the painkiller first and then the vaccination afterwards. Unbelievable.

This way they could say that the vaccine was well-tolerated. After that, in the following weeks and months, they managed to get the Indian government to announce that we would be conducting the next phase in India. Not just in India. In other places as well.

I believe 3,000 young people in India will allow themselves to be vaccinated with this gene-based vaccine, and I'm sure the result will be celebrated with a big "hurray!" and the claim that they tolerated it well.

So, ladies and gentlemen, do you want your mother or grandmother who is 70 or 75 years old with a pre-existing condition to receive such a vaccine? They can't want that. Or would you do that to yourself as someone younger than 70 years old? Before you do, you have to get a shot of paracetamol so you won't have side effects? What for? What for?

Let me tell you this: I won't be able to upload this video within the next five days because if I did, it would be deleted right away, for what I just said.

Professor, there's one topic that is continually brought up: The Swedish method. The Swedish took a completely different path from most countries. One of very few. It is one of the only countries in the world that didn't impose lockdowns. 85 percent of the Swedish population supported this method.

—Yes. The elderly and those living in nursing homes. They admit it. That's also a general topic when Corona is being discussed. The risk group of people with pre-existing conditions and older people. What do you say in reaction to this whole discussion about the high-risk group of people over 65 or 70 years of age?

Over 70 years, not 65. At least over 70, with pre-existing conditions, please. Sure. That was the case. Sweden didn't really do a good job protecting people in nursing homes and old folks' homes.

There were several reasons why this was the case. Our Swedish friends tell us that many foreigners who work in these Swedish nursing homes were not able to speak proper Swedish.

They couldn't read and understand all these rules, precautions, and didn't follow them. That's what Sweden admits as well.

The most important thing in the future, no matter whether it is the flu or Corona, is to regulate access and visits to these vulnerable people very strictly.

With a mask, yes, sure, why not?

Disinfected hands. Yes, that's all right.

Principally these are measures that already had been applied to the flu.

—Yes! —If you went to an old folks' home and it was flu season, you also had to pay attention to these measures. —Yes.

That's it. We say this clearly and concisely in the book. People don't like that, and that's why our videos are constantly being deleted. All this isn't necessary.

Just stop it. Why not turn our attention to reasonable, important things? Restore the economy and get the culture back on its feet.

Culture has been ruined. The schools — education has been ruined.

The elderly people are still lonely because they can't have visitors.

This can't be true. We have a relative, this is a personal story now,

The had a heart attack. All five of us weren't allowed to visit him.

—Suicide. —This is now a taboo subject at this point.

Yes, but Mr. Steinmeier says we shouldn't talk about it, because that would be irresponsible. Do you understand that?

You have... —This is so ridiculous and so crazy and so irresponsible from politicians. I'll say it point-blank. Everyone's trust in politicians has been lost. You simply can't do this.

There's another question we are often asked besides the number of the seriously ill among the dead.

As I have said already several times, fortunately the death toll is continuously decreasing. However, according to some media reports in the past few weeks, there are increasing numbers of long-term consequences for corona patients.

What is your opinion on that from a professional point of view? —From a professional point of view, it isn't possible to form an opinion, because there are no data at all.

And no studies. If you want to study long-term effects, you will probably have to do it for a longer time. —That's a matter of years. —Yes, my God, to start fantasizing now that there might be long-term consequences, that's following this agenda of the Federal Ministry of the Interior. You know the document that went viral in March of this year, from the Corona Committee in the German Interior ministry. A protocol was written on how to manage the crisis during a time of crisis.

The consensus was that fear had to be created. The first step in creating fear was by not counting or announcing how many died, because there would be too few.

Instead, only the number of the infected would be announced. Secondly, people, especially the elderly, had to be made to understand that without ventilation, death would occur by slow suffocation.

A drowning death.



and kill their parents and kill their grandparents. That’s how they control everyone.

And one last thing, buckle up, we have to talk about possible long-term damages, which are of course unknown. We can and must convey that since this is a new form of disease, we therefore cannot know if there are long-term consequences, but you can start to imagine all kinds of things. Now let me tell you something: after we read this, we were really angry and we’re still angry now.

These pathologists in Germany, who supposedly say, ‘That’s right, the virus goes here, there, and everywhere. The virus can go different places.’

All viruses that infect the respiratory system can also appear in many other organs. This has been known a long time. Even in the brain, but it does not mean that they are doing something there. The quantity is important. You know, having a few viruses here and there doesn’t mean they do anything at all. Before you can draw conclusions, You’d better have damned good data to back it up, and that takes years of research.

So please, don’t believe these fantasies. It could happen, but the probability that it WON’T happen is much higher.



Amy Mek Chinese Coronavirus Coronavirus Coronavirus Tyranny
Coronavirus vaccinations coronavirus vaccine Germany mortality rate
RAIR RAIR Foundation RAIR Foundation USA Sucharit Bhakdi vaccine



Renee Nal is an investigative journalist and documentary film producer.

[VIEW ALL POSTS](#)

◀ **BREAKING: Clinton-Tied Group 'Accountable Tech' Plans Coup - Pushes Election Week 'Social-Media Blackout'**

CALL TO ACTION: Left-Wing Idaho Mayor Arrests Christians Over Coronavirus Mandates He Refuses To Follow (Video) ▶

43 comments

Comment

Name *

Email *

Website

SUBMIT COMMENT



Pearlene Hamler